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Glass factories at Clyde, New York, began operation in 1828, but the plant did not evolve to bottle production until 1864. The operating firm reorganized periodically – mostly due to retirements, deaths, and new partners – although there was considerable continuity of ownership. In 1880, the owners incorporated as the Clyde Glass Works. Clyde made soda and beer bottles, liquor flasks, and fruit jars that were marked with one of the Clyde logos. The plant closed in 1915. Although another group reopened the works in 1920, it only survived for two years.

Early Glass Factories, Clyde, New York (1827-1877)

William S. De Zeng and James R. Rees became partners in 1827 and founded a glass house to make cylinder window glass. They laid the cornerstone on March 27, 1828 and the plant became operational that year. Orrin Southwick and Almon Wood (Southwick & Wood) built the first bottle factory in Clyde in 1864. Wood apparently withdrew to be replaced by Charles W. Reed to form the firm of Southwick & Reed – sometime between 1864 and 1868. About 1868, Southwick, Reed & Co. merged the bottle and window glass plants into a single unit, with William C. Ely, Dr. Linue Ely, and Orrin Southwick as principals – later, Charles W. Reed, John Schindler, and George H. Hoyt. The factory burned on July 24, 1873, but it was immediately rebuilt (McKearin & Wilson 1978:173; National Glass Budget 1917:5; Roller 1997; Toulouse 1971:137).

In early August of 1919, when workmen were again revamping the factory, they discovered that a bottle filled with papers had been sealed inside it in 1878. A paper, dated August 8, 1878, stated that “the corner stone of the Clyde Window Glass Factory was this day laid second time” and that Thomas C. Ely, Charles W. Reed, and George H. Hoyt were the

1 Sources are unclear, but Southwick & Reed probably also operated the former Zeng & Ree plant.
proprietors, with Charles D. Ely as superintendent of transportation. This is a slightly different order of importance than has been listed by other sources. Inside the stone, they also found “a 3-ounce bottle of brandy [probably 13-ounce or 23-ounce], a copy of the Wayne County Journal of May 9, 1878, a card dated 1827 [actually 1877], ‘Ely, Reed & Company. Window Glass, Fruit Jars, Bottles etc.’” Also included was a “list dated 1828 [1878] of names of the proprietors of the glass factory, of ten blowers, four cutters, one master, one pot worker, packer and two flatteners” (Pottery, Glass & Brass Salesman 1919:9). This indicates that the reorganization that created Ely, Reed & Co. occurred by 1877.

Kreider, Campbell & Co. – sole manufacturers of the Kelly & Samuel’s Keystone Grinding Machine – distributed a leaflet ca. 1876, listing “C. Reed & Co., Clyde, NY” among users of the machine, patented December 1869 – see the Camden Glass Works section for information on this and other machines for grinding the rims or lips of fruit jars (McKearin & Wilson 1978:174; Roller 1997). The installation of the machine may actually have occurred just before August 8, 1878, when Ely, Reed & Co. (Charles W. Reed, George H. Hoyt, William C. Ely, and John Schindler) opened the old cornerstone and laid the new one for the now expanded factory. In 1880, Reed retired, and the firm became Ely, Son & Hoyt (National Glass Budget 1917:5; Pottery, Glass & Brass Salesman 1919:9).

Containers and Marks

According to the 1877 card, Southwick, Reed & Co. made fruit jars, and it is highly likely that those were Mason jars. Based on the Mason jars that followed (see below), it seems probable that these were the firm’s earliest fruit jar attempts.

S&R (ca. 1865-1868)

Creswick (1987a:138) noted a jar embossed “MASON’S (slight arch) / PATENT / NOV 30th / 1858 (all horizontal)” on the front, with “S&R” on the base – although she did not illustrate the mark. She suggested that a possible maker was “Southwick, Reed & Co., Clyde,

2 Other sources, including the National Glass Budget (1917:5) placed the date at August 10. The paper from the cornerstone, however, was almost surely correct.
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New York (Clyde Glass Works) c. 1868.” According to McKearin & Wilson (1978:174), “a short time later [i.e., after 1864] the firm became known as Southwick & Reed.” This company preceded Southwick, Reed & Co., so the probable date range for the jars would be ca. 1865-1868. Unfortunately, we have found no drawing or photos of the jar or marking.

**SR&Co (1868-ca. 1870)**

Another virtually identical shoulder-seal jar was also embossed “MASON’S (slight arch) / PATENT / NOV 30TH / 1858 (all horizontal)” with “SR&Co / 2” on a post-bottom base that was offered on eBay (Figures 1 & 2). The “C” had a distinct serif at the top termination. Creswick (1987a:138) noted the jar and suggested Southwick, Reed & Co. as the manufacturer ca. 1868.

**MASON’S PATENT, CLYDE, N.Y. (ca. 1870-ca. 1874)**

Creswick (1987a:140) illustrated a mouth-blown, shoulder-seal jar embossed “MASON’S (slight arch) / PATENT / NOV 30TH / 1858 (all horizontal)” with “CLYDE, N.Y.” on the lower reverse side of the jar (Figure 3). Roller (2011:351) only gave this variation a bare mention, and we could not find the jar referenced in other sources. The container should have been produced during the same 1870-1882 period as the one addressed below – although it was probably only made during the early part of that period – possibly ca. 1870-ca. 1874.
Since the earlier two jars (S&R and SR&Co) were both Mason’s Patent jars, this was logically next in sequence, followed by the Mason’s Improved discussed below. An example from North American Glass had the same front and back embossing shown by Creswick (Figure 4), a base embossed “H82” (Figure 5), and a metal lid stamped with the CFJCo monogram of the Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. (Figure 6). Since later jars were embossed with the monogram, this lid was probably the correct one for the jar – although any lid on a historical jar could have been replaced by a historical user, an antique dealer, or a collector.

**MASON’S IMPROVED, CLYDE N.Y. (ca. 1874-1882)**

Creswick (1937a:122) illustrated a shoulder-seal jar embossed on the front with “MASON’S (slight arch) / CFJCo monogram / IMPROVED (both horizontal).” The reverse heel was embossed “CLYDE, N.Y.” (Figure 7). She noted that quart jars were found in amber, aqua, cobalt blue, and colorless examples, but the pint size was only found in aqua. She identified the Clyde Glass Works as the manufacturer for the Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. She did not assign a date range.
According to Toulouse (1971:137),

shortly after [1868] Clyde must have gained a franchise from the Consolidated Fruit Jar Co., since there were many fruit jars bearing the “CFJCo” monogram, with “CLYDE, N.Y.” somewhere on the jar–front or back made no difference. When Consolidated apparently sold its fruit-jar interests to Hero about 1882, Clyde lost a major portion of its business.³

The only jar photos we have seen had the same front embossing as noted by Creswick, with “CLYDE, N.Y.” well above the reverse heel, near the center of the jar (Figure 8). The jar had a ground rim (Figure 9) and CFJCo monogram on the glass insert (Figure 10). One base on an eBay auction was embossed “J86,” with “J189” in a double stamp on another (Figure 11).⁴

³ Toulouse (1971:137) was the only source to mention front embossing for “CLYDE, N.Y.” This was probably a misunderstanding of reports from collectors in hand-written letters – the major correspondence medium of the time.

⁴ This is by far the earliest documented example of a double-stamp base. Our earliest finding prior to this study was a beer bottle made by the Adolphus Busch Glass Co. ca. 1889. Typically, these are found on bottles (and some jars) made between ca. 1895 and 1914. See the section on the American Glass Co. for more information.
Toulouse (1971:137) dated the “CLYDE, N.Y.” mark ca. 1870 to 1882. Assuming that the Toulouse logic is correct, the bulk of these jars would have been made by Southwick, Reed & Co. (1870-1877), followed by Ely, Reed & Co. (1877-1880), and into the Ely, Son & Hoyt era between 1880 and 1882. Of course, we have no way to determine which individual firm made any specific jar.

**Ely, Son & Hoyt, Clyde, New York (1880-1895)**

Charles Reed retired in 1880, and the firm became Ely, Son & Hoyt. This company bought molds from Charles Yockel of Philadelphia and advertised “Window Glass, Fruit Jars and Bottles” on its letterhead. The stationery was printed with “188_____” as a partial date, but the only letter we have found was incomplete and undated beyond the printing (Figure 12). The letterhead noted the date of origin as 1827. This letterhead is also the first evidence we have for the use of Clyde Glass Works as the name of the plant (Tyson 1971:11). All secondary sources only mentioned the names of the operating firms. Upon the death of William C. Ely in September 1886, another son joined the firm, and the new name became William C. Ely’s Sons & Hoyt. But, reorganization was again in the wind in 1895 (Roller 1997). See Table 1 for a list of Factory operators.

---

5 Toulouse (1971:137) stated that Southwick, Reed & Co. took control of both plants in 1868 and used them both for bottle manufacture. If this is correct, Ely, Reed & Co. must have reinstated window glass production.
Table 1 – Operators of the Bottle Factory at Clyde, New York

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Operating Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1865</td>
<td>Southwick &amp; Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>Southwick, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>Ely, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>Ely, Sons &amp; Hoyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td>William C. Ely’s Sons &amp; Hoyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Clyde Glass Works (Inc.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Containers and Marks

According to von Mechow (2014), the two firms – Ely, Son & Hoyt and Ely, Sons & Hoyt – used two sets of marks.

ELY SON & HOYT (1880-1886)

Von Mechow (2014) illustrated “ELY SON & HOYT (arch) / CLYDE / N.Y. (both horizontal)” as being used by Ely, Son & Hoyt on the bases of bottles. However, in his individual bottle records, von Mechow noted four close variants, but none exactly matched his original format:

1. E SON & HOYT (arch) / CLYDE N.Y. (inverted arch) – 3 beer bottles
2. E SON & H (arch) / CLYDE (horizontal) / N.Y. (inverted arch) – 1 beer bottle
3. E SON & H (arch) – 1 beer bottle
4. E SON & H (horizontal) – 7 beer bottles

Whitten (2014) noted the mark as “E. SON & H.” and attributed it to both the “Son” and “Sons” firms. Internet searches have only turned up two examples of the “E SON & H” logo, both embossed horizontally across bottle bases – with no punctuation. One was on an otherwise
unembossed amber export beer bottle with an applied two-part finish (Figure 13). The other was on an aqua champagne beer bottle with a one-part finish closed by a Lightning fastener. We have not found examples of the other variations.

**ES&H (1886-1895)**

Von Mechow (2014) illustrated this mark as “ES&H (arch) / CLYDE / N.Y. (both horizontal)” on bottle bases. This could have been used by either Ely, Son & Hoyt or Ely, Sons & Hoyt. While less, von Mechow again noted two variations in his actual listings: 1) ES&H / CLYDE / N.Y. (all horizontal) – 1 soda bottle; and 2) ES&H (horizontal) – 3 Hutchinson soda bottles and 1 beer bottle

Oppelt (2003:12) also noted the ES&H mark on a Hutchinson soda bottle from Wisconsin. He attributed the mark to Ely Sons & Hoyt, Clyde, New York, 1886-1895 but gave no reason for choosing only the later firm. Hutchbook (Fowler 2014) only showed the horizontal mark (E.S.&H.) – with and without punctuation – on 12 Hutchinson bottles. Eight of these were from New York, two from Vermont, one from Georgia, and one with no state noted. Hutchbook did not show any other logo for Ely, Sons & Hoyt. Whitten also listed the E.S.&H. logo (with punctuation) as being used by Ely, Sons & Hoyt. Since the other logo specifically used “SON” – singular – all these sources probably attributed the abbreviated mark to the second “Sons” operating firm. We have followed suit with the same assumption. We have not seen an example of either variation of the ES&H mark.

**Clyde Glass Works, Clyde, New York (1895-1915)**

On July 10, 1895, China, Glass & Lamps reported the incorporation of the company as the Clyde Glass Works with a capital of $38,000. The directors were Charles D. Ely, George H. Hoyt, Gaylord R. Bacon, James R. Miller, William W. Legg,, and George H. Hoyt, Jr., of Clyde, and Frank R. Warren, of Rochester. The new firm ceased production of window glass, although

---

it is unclear whether the group closed the window plant or continued to use it to make bottles. The factory added a continuous tank for the production of amber bottles at that time (McKearin & Wilson 1978:174; Roller 1997; Toulouse 1971:137). None of the sources called the plant the Clyde Glass Works until the 1895 incorporation.

In 1897, Clyde was listed under the “Green Bottle and Hollowware Factories” section using 18 pots to make its products but had decreased to seven by 1898. Just two years later, the plant was only listed as using 7 pots, but it was up to 30 pots in 1901 and 1902 (National Glass Budget 1897:7; 1898:7; 1900:11; 1901:11; 1902:11). When Charles D. Ely died in May of 1903, George O. Baker and William A. Hunt joined the firm (Roller 1997). According to Toulouse (1971:138), “new equipment was making fruit jars” by 1903. The firm installed a continuous gas producer (i.e., to produce natural gas from coal) and new glass melting tanks in May.

By 1904, Clyde made “proprietary, liquor and prescription ware, [and] milk jars” at one continuous tank with nine rings. George R. Bacon was president and manager, with J.R. Miller as secretary, and C.F. Gleason as treasurer (American Glass Review 1934:159). W.W. Legg was the vice president by at least 1906; the other officers remained the same. The Thomas Registers included Clyde in its first edition in 1905 as making green glass. By the next edition (1907), the list enlarged the products to include prescription, wine, beer, soda, and brandy as well a listing under fruit and milk jars (Thomas Publishing Co. 1905:105; 1907:159, 798).

The plant added a ten-ton day tank in 1907 and was listed in 1913 as using both mouth-blown and semiautomatic machine techniques to make “medicine, beer and water [i.e., soda]” bottles and “electrical goods” in three continuous tanks with 30 rings (Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 1913:953). By then, Frank H. Warren was treasurer. The Thomas Registers continued to list the plant as making bottles, fruit jars, and milk jars until 1915 (Thomas Publishing Co. 1915:78, 3307, 3308). In 1915, Clyde made prescription, beer, mineral, and liquor bottles, flasks, and packers’ ware (Roller 1997).

---

7 It is unclear what these “electrical goods” were. None of our sources point to Clyde being a manufacturer of telephone or electrical insulators. These could have been much smaller insulators than those used on poles – for example, small elongated gobs of glass with holes on each end to join wires and stop the possible flow of electricity.
Clyde ceased operations in July 1915 “with 80 tons of glass still in its tanks” (McKearin & Wilson 1978:174). On February 13, 1917, S. Emory Budd of Newark, New Jersey, purchased the plant at a foreclosure sale for $5,200 (National Glass Budget (1917:5). The plant was revived by a new corporation as the Clyde Glass Mfg. Co. in 1920 but was again out of business in 1922. The plant made blown and pressed novelties, lighting goods, etc. The C.O. Northwood & Son Glass Corp. purchased the plant at that time but apparently made no glass (Roller 1997; Toulouse 1971:138-139).

Containers and Marks

As noted above, the Clyde Glass Works made a variety of bottles and at least some fruit jars.

CGW

The CGW logo is found on a variety of containers. Typically, the mark is found on heels or bases, always in a horizontal line. With one exception (discussed below), the “G” in the mark was very distinctive. The style of this “wide-angle G” included a downward slash as the serif or “tail” of the letter, but this slash was tilted at a much greater angle than most (Figure 14). For a further study of “G” letters on logos, see the Belleville Glass Co. section).

Toulouse (1971:129) attributed this mark to the Campbell Glass Works, West Berkeley, California, from 1884 to 1885. Whitten (2014) noted the Toulouse identification but added that the mark “could be any one of several Eastern region glass companies, for instance Clyde Glass Works, Clyde, New York; Cumberland Glass Works/Cumberland Glass Mfg. Co., Bridgeton, New Jersey; or Camden Glass Works, Camden, New Jersey (1875-1884).” See Figure 2 for a list of possible users.

8 The date was supported by the American Glass Review (1934:159). The listing stated that Clyde had been “out of business since 1916.”

Figure 14 – Tailed G – 1 & 2 normal G; 3 wide-angle G
Table 2 – Possible Users of the CGW Logo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Glass Works</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>1881-1883</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Glass Works</td>
<td>Long Beach, CA</td>
<td>1914-1916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden Glass Works</td>
<td>Camden, NJ</td>
<td>1877-1884</td>
<td>fruit jars, beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Glass Works</td>
<td>West Berkeley, CA</td>
<td>1884-1885</td>
<td>med, wine, beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Glass Works Co.</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>1887-1888</td>
<td>Hutch, beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyde Glass Works</td>
<td>Clyde, NY</td>
<td>1886-1912</td>
<td>fruit jars, med, liquor, milk, beer, soda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Western Hutchinson Bottles (1887-1888)

Toulouse (1971:129) attributed this mark to the Campbell Glass Works, West Berkeley, California, from 1884 to 1885. This was almost certainly a guess based on the initials. We found a single California bottle embossed “CGW” (Fowler 2014), and the dates of the bottler (1908-1917) eliminated Campbell as a maker. However, Hutchbook (Fowler 2014) listed two Colorado Hutchinson bottles with “CGW” bases. Another Hutchinson bottle with the “CGW” basemark was used by a bottler in Albuquerque, New Mexico (Figures 15 & 16). Although this mark was not recognized by Clint or Oppelt, it was likely used by the Colorado Glass Works Co. The serif or “tail” of the “G” extended downward, but this one was not at the wider angle. Bottles from the Colorado firm (1887-1888) may be recognized by the location at or near Colorado, basal position of the logo, and a “normal” “tailed-G” in the mark. See the Colorado Glass Works Co. section for more information.
Eastern Soda and Beer Bottles (1895-1915)

More to the point of this study, there was another user of the CGW logo. Hutchbook (Fowler 2014) listed 13 Hutchinson soda bottles embossed “CGW” on either the front or back heel. Each was made for a soda bottler in New York. Fowler attributed the logo to the Clyde Glass Works. Von Mechow (2014) only listed six bottles with the “CGW” logo – noted as horizontal on the bases – out of 59 that were made by the Clyde Glass Co. Five of these were beer bottles, but one was an unusual Codd-style bottle – with both marble and rubber grommet intact (Figure 17). The Puritan Bottling Works at Tariffville, Connecticut, used the bottle.

Lincoln (1970:47) showed a blob-top bottle (with Hutter-style stopper) embossed on the back heel with “CGW.” Unfortunately, Lincoln provided no other information except that the container was made for the Hinckel Brewing Co., Boston, Massachusetts. The location of the logo on the heel of soda and beer bottles probably indicates that they were made by Clyde Glass. We were able to obtain an example of the Hinckel bottle with the CGW heelmark that noted Hinckle breweries in New York, Boston, and New Hampshire. (Figures 18 & 19). The large number of New York bottles in the sample makes it virtually certain that the mark was used by the Clyde Glass Works – probably during the incorporation period from 1895 to 1915. The bottle styles reported fit well into that period.
We have located similar CGW logos on the bases of union (strap-sided) flasks offered on eBay auctions. Although all the examples we have found were union style, they were made with two major manufacturing techniques. Probably, the earliest of these were amber in color and made in two-piece, bottom hinged molds, each with a post bottom and “CGW” embossed in the center of the circle created by the post seam (Figure 20). At least one of these had a one-part applied finish, although others had two-part finishes (Figures 21 & 22). A variation was colorless and had a “CGW” heelmark – still made with the same type of molds (Figure 23). These had two-part finishes, probably all tooled, and the words “FULL ½ PINT” embossed on the shoulder (Figure 24). Many (possibly all) had a large, round plate on the front body.

Tod von Mechow provided a different variation, still a union flask, but this one had a cup bottom and was embossed “CGW” in the center of the base with an anchor superimposed
over the letters (with the center post of the anchor bisecting the “G”). These had two-part finishes, probably tooled (Figure 25). Von Mechow noted that such flasks are found in venues on the East Coast, especially Baltimore. It is highly probable that all of these flasks were made by the Clyde Glass Works.

There is, however, an interesting – although probably spurious – connection between these flasks and similar ones with embossed “SGCo” basemarks (Figure 26). The literature is oddly silent about these flasks. Strap-sided Union Oval flasks abound in general, and they seem to have been made sometime between the early 1860s and the very early 1920s. However, the ones marked with “SGCo” or “CGW” (both with and without anchors) are virtually absent from archaeological reports and collectors’ books. Despite this apparent absence, the flasks are particularly known from the Baltimore area. The flasks marked “SGCo” were almost certainly made by the Severn Glass Co., Annapolis, Maryland, between 1898 and 1902 (see the Severn Glass Co. section for more information).

The flask/mark combinations have several things in common that could link two manufacturers together:

1. Users of both initials made strap-sided Union Oval flasks.
2. Both sets of initials, superimposed on a single anchor, are found embossed on bases – as are initials only – with no anchor (Figure 27).
3. Each set of initials is found on both post-bottom and cup-bottom baseplates.

![Figure 25 – CGW-with-anchor basemark (Von Mechow collection)](image)

![Figure 26 – CGW-SGCo flask comparison (Von Mechow collection)](image)

![Figure 27 – SGCo-with-anchor basemark (eBay)](image)
4. Each set of initials is found on both amber and aqua flasks.
5. The “G” in both marks has the serif extending downward.
6. Each often has a large round plate on the front body.

Two interesting oddities stand in contrast:

1. CGW is found on post-bottom mold flasks without the anchor and on cup-bottom molds with the anchor. SGCo flasks are just the opposite: post-bottom with anchor – cup-bottom without.

2. The serif or “tail” of the “G” in “SGCo” extends almost straight downward – notably different from the “wide-angle G” on “CGW” flasks.

Because our sample is small (n=10), these connections may be spurious. We explored glass plants in the Baltimore area for plants with the proper CGW initials but only found the Crystal Glass Works, used by the Swindell Bros. However, we have no evidence that the Swindells used any form of CGW logo (see the Swindell Bros. section for more information). Therefore, the flasks were likely made by the Clyde Glass Works.

**Other Bottle Types**

Whitten (personal communication) described “one bottle with a ‘C.G.W’ mark on the base. It is an aqua rectangular medicine bottle (5 and 1/2 inches tall), 1 x 1 3/4ths inches base measurements, embossed ‘FOUTZ’S LINIMENT / DAVID E. FOUTZ / BALTIMORE MD’ lettered vertically, in a sunken panel on the front.” Although we lack photos, the Baltimore location sets this almost certainly as a Clyde Glass Works bottle.

Our final example was a small bottle offered on an eBay auction. The front was embossed “BY THE / KING’S / ROYAL / PATENT / GRANTED” with “ROBT / TURLI / INGTON / FOR HIS / INVENTED / BALSAM / OF LIFE” (Figure 28). The sides were embossed “LONDON” and “JANY” respectively (Figure 29). The cup-bottom base was
embossed “CGW” – with the distinctive wide-angle “G” – in a concave center section (Figure 30). The bottle was machine made – note the horizontal seams at the center and the base of the finish – although the crudeness of the seams and the offset of the top plate indicates a manufacture by an early machine. The bottle was probably made by the Clyde Glass Works – although we have not found any evidence for the use by the plant of a narrow-mouth bottle machine.

Rawlinson (1969:378-383) discussed the history of the Turlington Balsam and its bottles. Robert Turlington applied to King George II for a patent for his medicine on January 18, 1744, and received Patent No. 596 in May of the same year. A decade later (1754), there had already been so many patent violations that Turlington commissioned “pear” shaped bottles embossed similarly to the one described above. The original bottles had “BY” on its own line, and the side was embossed “JANUY 26, 1754.” We may never know why the Clyde bottle was only embossed “JANY.” By the 1820s, Thomas W. Dyott, a Philadelphia glass maker was producing Turlington bottles. U.S manufacturer’s continued to offer Turlington bottles until ca. 1900.

**CLYDE**

Whitten (2014) identified “Clyde” with the same firm but dated it 1868-1912. Von Mechow (2014) also included “CLYDE” in a horizontal format as one mark used by Clyde Glass, although there were none listed in his individual breakdown of 59 bottles from the factory. Kroll (1972:81) reported that one beer bottle used by the Pabst Brewing Co. (Milwaukee) was marked “CLYDE.” Unfortunately, he did not note the configuration or placement (heel or base) of the mark. The bottle was amber in color, and he rated it scarce to rare. This container probably was embossed “CLYDE GLASS WORKS” (see below).
Giarde (1980:24) noted that Clyde made milk bottles at some point between 1895 and 1916 but that “the period of 1903 to 1916 represents the one in which it is most likely the milk bottles were made. However, he had not found the CLYDE logo or any other mark indicating the company on any milk bottles.

**Fruit Jars**

Our typical fruit jar sources listed a total of five fruit jars made by the Clyde Glass Works: The Clyde (cursive), CLYDE LIGHTNING, CLYDE MASON’S IMPROVED, and both Mason’s Improved and Mason’s Patent jars made for the Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. The jars made for Consolidated were addressed in the Early Glass Factories section (above), but the others need to be treated individually. It is interesting that our best estimates for jar production indicate an empty period between ca. 1882 and ca. 1895. It is likely that Clyde Glass made *some* kind of fruit jar during that period, probably a Mason’s Patent or Mason’s Improved jar that lacked any glass house identifying mark. See Table 3 at the end of this Fruit Jar section.

**The Clyde (ca. 1895-1915)**

Toulouse (1969:68) listed this jar as handmade with an old-style Lightning closure. The front was embossed “The Clyde” in upwardly slanted cursive. In his later book (Toulouse 1971:137), he dated the jar ca. 1895. Roller (1983:88) dated the jar ca. 1890s-early 1900s. Creswick (1987a:30; 1937b:37) illustrated the jar and noted that it was colorless but “will turn amethyst” (Figure 31). She dated the jar ca. 1885-1895. Jerry McCann added that “ground-lip jars have narrow mouths while the smooth lip version has a regular size mouth” (Roller 2011:135). McCann (2014:144) added that the lid was embossed “CLYDE GLASS WORKS CLYDE, N.Y.”
The only example we have seen on eBay was mouth blown (Figure 32). The jar and lid were as described above (Figure 33), but the jar had a post-bottom base (Figure 34). These were probably first made in 1895 to commemorate the incorporation of the Clyde Glass Works. If the Toulouse (1971:138) report of “new equipment . . . making fruit jars” by 1903 indicated the use of machines that early, then the Roller dates for these jars were probably correct. It is highly likely that the mouth-blown jars are more common in collections because they were produced longer. The machine-made jars were probably made for a short time during 1903 or slightly later. Thus the range for the jars is ca. 1895-ca. 1904.

Toulouse (1969:68) reported a similar jar that was unmarked but had a lid as described by McCann. This was likely a case where someone had placed a Clyde lid on another jar. No other source reported this variation.

Roller (1983:88) noted a variation with “The Clyde in circular plate.” Creswick (1937b:37) also listed the same variation and stated that the jar had a “smooth lip” (machine-made). She dated this one ca. after 1895. The only example we have found on eBay included photos of the jar (Figure 35), the lid (Figures 36 & 37), the smooth rim (Figure 38), and the
base (Figure 39) – clearly showing a valve scar. Since these jars were machine made, they followed the earlier ones and were likely produced between ca. 1904 and the closure of the plant in 1915.

Although the Creswick drawing (see Figure 31) and the eBay photo of the non-plate jar (see Figure 32) show an identical cursive “e” in both “The” and Clyde,” the eBay photo of the plate variation (see Figure 35) has a distinct “c” at the end of “Clyde” (Clyde) that is a different type of letter from the “e” at the end of “The” – so this is not a stylistic change. This error was not mentioned in any source, including Leybourne (2008:103) or Roller (2011:135) – typically the best indicators of variations.

**CLYDE LIGHTNING**

Toulouse (1969:69) noted a jar embossed “CLYDE / LIGHTNING” on the side. He described the jar as mouth blown (ground rim), green in color, and sealed with an old-style Lightning closure. He dated the container ca. 1895. It should be noted that Toulouse erroneously thought that the Clyde Glass Works did not exist by that name during the 1885-1895 period; therefore, his use of “Circa 1895” for dating jars from the Clyde plant is a bit misleading. Roller (1983:88) used the same information but dated the jar ca. 1890s. Creswick (1987a:31, 122; 1987b:37) again used the same description and date but included no drawing. The 2011 Roller update (Roller 2011:135) listed the jar as “Deleted” and referred to the 1983 edition. The container was also absent from the Fruit Jar Annual (McCann 2014:144-145). The unmistakable conclusion is that this jar does not exist. It probably all began with a garbled, hand-written letter to Toulouse – an identification that probably intended to describe The Clyde (see above).

**CLYDE MASON’S IMPROVED**

With this jar, Toulouse (1969:68) was almost certainly acting on information sent to him in a handwritten letter. He stated that the jar was handmade and embossed “three lines: ‘CLYDE,’ ‘IMPROVED,’ and ‘MASON.’” He included a variation embossed “‘clyde’ over ‘mason.’” He dated the jars “Circa 1882-85 or after 1895” reflecting his belief that the factory was closed, inoperable, or otherwise not using the place name during the 1885-1895 period.
Roller 1983:88; 2011:88) included the correct order “CLYDE MASON’S IMPROVED” and noted the glass insert and metal screw band closure that was stamped “CLYDE GLASS WORKS CLYDE, N.Y.” He dated the jars ca. 1890s-1910s and noted that “both ground lip and smooth lip jars studied have been machine made. Why the lips were ground on some jars is not clear.” Creswick (1987a:30-31, 122) illustrated both the jar and the lid, showing the correct embossing as “CLYDE / MASON’S / IMPROVED (all in slight arches)” on the front with “CLYDE GLASS WORKS (arch) / CLYDE, N.Y. (inverted arch)” on the glass insert (Figure 40). She repeated the Toulouse dates.

If the “new equipment” that Toulouse (1971:138), noted as “making fruit jars” by 1903 included semiautomatic machines, then it is reasonable to assume that these jars were first made about that time. We have found no evidence of earlier machine use. The jars were probably made until the plant closed in 1915.

The machine-made jars with ground rims are intriguing. Two eBay photos showed the machine base (Figure 41) and ground rim (Figure 42) of one of these jars. The base had a valve scar typical of a press-and-blow machine. It is possible that the workers – unused to machine production – took the freshly made jars and placed them in the grinding machine, until the plant manager spotted the error and rectified the situation.
Table 3 – Probable Dates for Clyde Glass Works Fruit Jar Variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Distinctive Markings</th>
<th>Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1865-1868</td>
<td>Mason’s Patent; S&amp;R basemark</td>
<td>Southwick &amp; Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868-ca. 1870</td>
<td>Mason’s Patent; SR&amp;Co basemark</td>
<td>Southwick, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1870-ca. 1874</td>
<td>Mason’s Patent; CLYDE, NY. on reverse</td>
<td>Southwick, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1874-ca. 1882</td>
<td>Mason’s Improved; CLYDE, N.Y. on reverse</td>
<td>Southwick, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ely, Reed &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ely, Reed &amp; Hoyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1882-1895</td>
<td>Mason jars; no glass house identification</td>
<td>Ely, Reed &amp; Hoyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895-ca. 1904</td>
<td>The Clyde (no plate)</td>
<td>Clyde Glass Works, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1904-1915</td>
<td>The Clyde (plate)</td>
<td>Clyde Glass Works, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 1903-1915</td>
<td>CLYDE MASON’S IMPROVED</td>
<td>Clyde Glass Works, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLYDE GLASS WORKS**

Whitten (2014) identified “Clyde Glass Works” as being used by the plant from 1868 to 1912. The logo seems to have been used in two venues: soda/beer bottles and flasks.

**Soda and Beer Bottles (1895-ca. 1910)**

Von Mechow (2014) showed the logo as “CLYDE GLASS WORKS (arch) / CLYDE (horizontal) / N.Y. (inverted arch)” – used on soda and beer bottles. Out of 59 bottles identified by von Mechow as being made by Clyde, 51 were in the arched format. Two others had the same information but lacked the notations for the arch and inverted arch – probably because that information was not reported to von Mechow. The remaining six were discussed above in the CGW section. Several bottles with the Clyde Glass Works embossing have appeared on internet sites, including eBay and AntiqueBottles.net on various types of beer and soda bottles (Figures 43). A few bases have had double stamps, especially on

Figure 43 – Beer bottle - Champagne (AntiqueBottles.net)
the word “CLYDE” (Figure 44). All the examples listed by von Mechow were mouth blown as were those in the few photos we have seen. It is likely that these were made from 1895 to 1910 or later. Both the bottle styles and double stamped bases fit well into that time period.

**Flasks (1864-ca. 1880)**

Freeman (1964:136) listed a liquor flask marked “Clyde Glass Works N.Y.” – but he provided no additional information about either the mark or the glass house. McKearin & Wilson (1978:174, 673) discussed three slight variations of the flask – although they fell into two main divisions. One had a finish that the McKearins (1978:519) described as “tooled, broad flat collar sloping to heavy rounded ring.” The other two had a “double round collar” (double ring) finish. All had bases made by a bottom-hinge, two-piece mold with a post bottom, and all embossed “CLYDE GLASS WORKS (arch) / N.Y.” These were made in amber, aqua, green, and colorless glass. McKearin & Wilson dated the flasks 1864-ca. 1880. The only examples we have found were the double-ring-finish variation from a Norman C. Heckler auction. One Heckler photo showed the side seam extending to the base of the finish (Figure 45).

**Other Products**

Various fire grenades have been offered for sale at internet sites (including eBay auctions), and some of these – embossed with a lion’s head motif – were purportedly made by the Clyde Glass Works (Figure 46). Fire grenades were generally round and were
filled with salt water or carbon tetrachloride. They were to be thrown at the base of a fire, where heat or some hard surface would break the glass, releasing the chemical to douse the flames.

Discussion and Conclusions

The glass works at Clyde, New York, had a long and varied history. Although it is almost certain that Clyde never marked the majority of its containers, certain types of both bottles and jars were clearly identified as being produced at the plant. The earliest of these were jars. It is likely that the Mason’s Improved jars with “S&W” and “SW&Co” were made by Southwick & Reed and Southwick, Reed & Co., respectively. These were almost certainly the earliest marked jars, during the 1864-ca. 1870 period. The Mason’s Patent and Mason’s Improved jars, embossed with the CFJCo monogram on the front and “CLYDE, N.Y.” on the reverse, were likely made by the plant during the ca. 1870-1882 period. Jars made between 1882 and ca. 1890 must have had no Clyde logos. The plant made The Clyde from ca. 1890 to ca. 1910, and produced the Clyde Mason’s Improved from ca. 1895 to 1915. A few soda and beer bottles, as well as flasks, bore the Clyde name during the 1880-1910 or later. The plant had an important place in New York glass history.
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